

Philosophy 3334 Spring 2020

Reading questions #11

Due Thurs, April 16 (by 11:59pm) uploaded to Blackboard [though anytime later is perfectly fine]

Read Robin Andreasen's "A New Perspective on the Race Debate" and also Joshua Glasgow's "On the New Biology of Race". If I were you, I would also watch my discussion with Robin on my youtube page here:

<https://www.youtube.com/user/jdvelasc>

Glasgow says that the races that we get on Andreasen's view are too different from our ordinary conventional classification of race.

1) Give an example of what Glasgow has in mind. This should be a case where you (or at least you think many other people) would classify people as the same (or different) races but Andreasen would not. If you think there aren't any good examples like this, explain why not.

2) How do you think that Andreasen would respond to this criticism?

3) Who do you think is right here? Do you think this is a really good criticism of Andreasen?